

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re United States Patent Application of:)	Docket No.:	4230-101
Applicants: SHIMURA, Tokihiro, et al.)	Conf. No.:	6700
Application No.: 10/690,073)	Art Unit:	1755
Date Filed: October 21, 2003)	Examiner:	MARCHESCHI, Michael A.
Title: ABRASIVE, AND ABRASIVE MANUFACTURING METHOD AND DEVICE)	Customer No.:	23448

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JAN 11 2006****FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION CERTIFICATE****ATTN: Examiner Michael A. Marcheschi****Fax No. (571) 273-8300**

I hereby certify that this document is being filed in the United States Patent and Trademark Office, via facsimile transmission Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on **January 11, 2006**, to United States Patent and Trademark Office facsimile transmission number (571) 273-8300.

38
Number of Pages (including cover)


Kate Turner

January 11, 2006

Date

**RESUBMISSION OF RESPONSE TO OCTOBER 3, 2005 OFFICE ACTION AND
REQUEST FOR 1-MONTH EXTENSION OF TIME IN U.S. PATENT APPLICATION
NO. 10/690,073**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

Enclosed and resubmitted herewith is a copy of the "Response to October 3, 2005 Office Action and Request for 1-Month Extension of Time in U.S. Patent Application No. 10/690,073," as fax-filed in the USPTO on January 11, 2006 by transmission to USPTO Central Facsimile number (571) 273-8300.

4230-101

Although the Response was indicated by the Transmission Report generated by the undersigned attorney's fax machine as having been fully transmitted (see copy of Transmission Report enclosed herewith), 2 Auto-Replies confirming such filing were sent by the PTO to the undersigned attorney (see Auto-Replies attached hereto). Note that the first Auto-Reply reports successful receipt of 15 pages of the document, and the second Auto-Reply reports successful receipt of 20 pages of the document, for a total of 35 pages.

The Response is therefore being resubmitted herewith, to secure an single Auto-Reply communication from the USPTO confirming such filing, and to ensure that the document is received by the USPTO in its entirety and without duplication.

To prevent duplicate charge of the 1-month extension fee, the Credit Card Form authorizing payment in the total amount of \$120.00, transmitted as page 34 with the originally filed response, will not be resubmitted.

Respectfully submitted,



Steven J. Hultquist
Reg. No. 28,021
Attorney for Applicants

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY/
TECHNOLOGY LAW
Phone: (919) 419-9350
Fax: (919) 419-9354
Attorney File No.: 4230-101

Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission



TO:

Fax Sender at 9194199354

Fax Information

Date Received:

1/11/2006 10:53:00 AM [Eastern Standard Time]

Total Pages:

15 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received
Cover
Page

=====>

0104199354		IPTL		10:57:04 a.m. 01-11-2006		1/24	
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE							
In re United States Patent Application of:				Docket No.:		4230-101	
Applicant: SHIMURA, Toshihiro, et al.				Examiner:		MARCHESCHI, Michael A.	
Application No.: 10/690,073				Group Art Unit:		1755	
Date Filed: October 31, 2005				Confirmation No.:		6760	
Title: ABRASIVE AND ABRASIVE MANUFACTURING METHOD				Customer No.:		23448	
FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION CERTIFICATE							
ATTN: Examiner Michael A. MARCHESCHI							
Facsimile No.: (571) 273-6300							
I hereby certify that I am filing this document in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date specified immediately below by facsimile transmission, and addressed to Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 and transmitted to USPTO Central Facsimile No. (571) 273-6300.							
34 Number of Pages Transmitted							
Steven J. Hudgins							
January 11, 2006							
Date							
RESPONSE TO OCTOBER 3, 2005 OFFICE ACTION AND REQUEST FOR 1-MONTH EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 35 USC 1.136(a) IN U. S. PATENT APPLICATION NO. 10/690,073							
Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450							
Sir:							
This responds to the October 3, 2005 final Office Action in the above-referenced patent application.							
PAGE 3/24 * RCVD AT 10/10/2005 10:52:00 AM Eastern Standard Time * SVR:USPTO-EFXXF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:9194199354 * DURATION (mm-ss):15-26							

Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission



TO: Fax Sender at 9194199354

Fax Information

Date Received:

Total Pages:

1/11/2006 11:03:03 AM [Eastern Standard Time]

20 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received
Cover
Page

=====>

0194199354	PT	11 07 20 06	01-11-2006	10 04
------------	----	-------------	------------	-------

This rejection is merited for multiple reasons. First, it is improper for the Patent Office to limit all claims to specific examples provided in a specification. *In re Andersen*, 176 USPQ 331, 333 (CCPA 1973). Second, and more importantly, this reading of claim 11 ignores a commonsense reading of claim 10, on which claim 11 depends. Specifically, claim 11 states that "the substance comprises a material selected from the group consisting of stearic acid and anhydrous silica," while claim 10 requires that the "substance ... is attached to a part or the entire surface of the inorganic metal powder." In this respect, the claim only requires that the substance be in any form attachable to the powder. For example, the substance could be in the form of a film or a coating, or any other suitable form.

Since the examiner prints to no limiting language in the specification indicating that "the substance" of claim 11 cannot be a non-powder, any illustrative examples should be treated as non-limiting of the physical form of the substance within the plain meaning of claim 11.

As before, Applicants hereby incorporate by reference the preceding discussions of the two-part test of *In re Fisher* (166 USPQ at 23-24) and apply them to claim 11. There is no doubt that, with the benefit of the present patent disclosure, one of ordinary skill in the art could: (1) attach anhydrous silica to a part of or the entire surface of the inorganic metal powder in any form or using any method known in the art; and (2) predict the performance of such other embodiments applying known scientific laws.

Based on the foregoing, the examiner has not advanced acceptable reasoning inconsistent with enablement sufficient to establish a *prima facie* case of a lack of enablement required to support the present rejection under 35 USC § 112. Therefore, withdrawal of the rejection under 35 USC § 112 is respectfully requested.

e) "Pressurized" limitation

Claims 24 and 25 require ejection of a "pressurized fluid" into molten metal that is ejected from a nozzle, with the fluid functioning to solidify the molten metal into the form of a powder. In the October 2, 2005 Office Action, the examiner rejected claims 23 and 24 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, for utilizing the term "pressurized." The examiner conceded that "the specification states that pressure can be used" but indicated that such disclosure "is limited to high pressure" and indicated that as now claimed the term "pressurized" means the use of any pressure (i.e., low

15

PAGE 1/20 * RCVD AT 1/11/2006 11:03:03 AM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFAX-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:9194199354 * DURATION (mm-ss):15-26

Transmission Report

Date/Time 01-11-2006
Local ID 1 9194199354
Local ID 2

11:11:02 a.m.

Transmit Header Text
Local Name 1 IPTL
Local Name 2

This document : Confirmed
(reduced sample and details below)
Document size : 8.5"x11"

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re United States Patent Application of:	Docket No.:	4230-101
Applicant: SHIMURA, Tokihiko, et al.	Examiners:	MARCHESCHI, Michael A.
Application No.: 10/690,073	Group Art Unit:	1755
Date Filed: October 21, 2003	Confirmation No.	6700
Title: ABRASIVE, AND ABRASIVE MANUFACTURING METHOD	Customer No.	23448

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION CERTIFICATE ATTN: Examiner Michael A. MARCHESCHI Facsimile No.: (571) 273-8300

I hereby certify that I am filing this document in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date specified immediately below by facsimile transmission, and addressed to Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 and transmitted to USPTO Central Facsimile No. (571) 273-8300.

34
Number of Pages Transmitted
Steven J. Huhguts
January 11, 2006
Date

RESPONSE TO OCTOBER 3, 2005 OFFICE ACTION AND REQUEST FOR 1-MONTH EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 35 USC 1.136(a) IN U. S. PATENT APPLICATION NO. 10/690,073

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This responds to the October 3, 2005 final Office Action in the above-referenced patent application.

Total Pages Scanned : 34

Total Pages Confirmed : 34

No.	Job	Remote Station	Start Time	Duration	Pages	Line	Mode	Job Type	Results
001	418	USPTO	10:50:52 a.m. 01-11-2006	00:08:35	34/34	1	EC	HS	CP12000

Abbreviations:

HS: Host send
HR: Host receive
WS: Waiting send

PL: Polled local
PR: Polled remote
MS: Mailbox save

MP: Mailbox print
CP: Completed
FA: Fail

TU: Terminated by user
TS: Terminated by system
RP: Report
G3: Group 3
EC: Error Correct

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re United States Patent Application of:)	Docket No.:	4230-101
Applicant:)	Examiner:	MARCHESCHI,
Application No.:)	Group Art Unit:	1755
Date Filed:)	Confirmation No.	6700
Title:)	Customer No.	
ABRASIVE, AND)		
ABRASIVE)		
MANUFACTURING)		
METHOD)		

23448

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION CERTIFICATE**ATTN: Examiner Michael A. MARCHESCHI****Facsimile No.: (571) 273-8300**

I hereby certify that I am filing this document in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date specified immediately below by facsimile transmission, and addressed to Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 and transmitted to USPTO Central Facsimile No. (571) 273-8300.

34

Number of Pages Transmitted

Steven J. Hultquist

January 11, 2006

Date

**RESPONSE TO OCTOBER 3, 2005 OFFICE ACTION AND REQUEST FOR 1-MONTH
EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 35 USC 1.136(a) IN U. S. PATENT APPLICATION
NO. 10/690,073**

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This responds to the October 3, 2005 final Office Action in the above-referenced patent application.

01/12/2006 AKELECH1 00000043 083284 10690073

01 FC:1251 120.00 DA

The currently-pending claims are provided in **Section I (Statement of the Claims)** hereof.

Remarks relating to the substance of the October 3, 2005 Office Action are set out in **Section II (Remarks)** hereof.